



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

United States Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS

P.O. Box 1450

Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450

www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/528,500	03/18/2005	Silvia Berlanga de Moraes Barros	ABARR.0101	4409
22858	7590	04/17/2009		
CARSTENS & CAHOON, LLP P O BOX 802334 DALLAS, TX 75380			EXAMINER TATE, CHRISTOPHER ROBIN	
			ART UNIT 1655	PAPER NUMBER
			MAIL DATE 04/17/2009	DELIVERY MODE PAPER

**Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.**

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

## Interview Summary

**Application No.**

10/528,500

**Applicant(s)**

DE MORAES BARROS ET AL.

**Examiner**

Christopher R. Tate

**Art Unit**

1655

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Christopher R. Tate.

(3) \_\_\_\_\_.

(2) Amanda Jenkins.

(4) \_\_\_\_\_.

Date of Interview: 14 April 2009.

Type: a) ☒ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference

c) ☐ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☐ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☐ No.

If Yes, brief description: \_\_\_\_\_.

Claim(s) discussed: All, in general.

Identification of prior art discussed: All, in general.

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached. g) ☒ was not reached. h) ☐ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Discussed the USC 112, first paragraph and 103 rejections of record. The examiner reiterated that he considered the rejections proper for the reasons of record. Applicants' representative disagreed for the reasons set forth in the reply filed 3/23/2009.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

/Christopher R. Tate/  
Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1655